

REMARKS

This amendment is in response to the Final Office March 26, 2008 in which claims 1-5, 7, 8, 11-14, 16 and 17 were rejected and claims 6, 9, 10, 15, 18 and 19 are objected to.

In spite of a disagreement with the final rejection, the applicant chose to amend claims for allowance as submitted herein, wherein limitations of claim 9 and all intervening claims are incorporated into claim 1, limitations of claim 15 and all intervening claims are incorporated into claim 11, and claims 6 and 18 are re-written in independent form including limitations of all intervening claims. Claims 3, 4, 7, 9, 12, 13, 15 16 and 17 are cancelled.

The specification is objected to because of informalities, i.e., using "SUI" (speech user interference) and "ISU" (interference speech user) abbreviations, and it is requested by the Office to consolidate these abbreviations in one. The applicant is of opinion that since all these acronyms are clearly defined in the specification, there is no reason to make the requested changes. The applicant is not familiar with a rule or a statute which will require the change requested by the Office. Therefore, the applicant requests the Office to reconsider this objection, and if not, to provide the

appropriate statute or rule requiring the requested changes.

In addition, the patent application uses 2 terms accepted in the chosen field of art: "user interference cancellation (SUIC) receiver" and "interfering speech user (ISU)". Therefore some parameters/signals use acronym "SUI" and some "ISU".

In spite of disagreement with this objection, the applicant attempted to amend the specification to change "ISU" to "SUI" which created a problem because, e.g., signals 48 and 52 as well as 48a and 52a in figure 2a become all "SUI signals" which is wrong because they should have different names since these are different signals. Similar consideration is applied to signals 70 and 72 as well as signals 70a and 72a in figure 2b. Also many blocks in Figures 3a and 3b will be confusing because, if ISU signals become SUI signals, it will be impossible to distinguish these two signals.

Following instructions of the Supervisory Examiner Chieh M. Fan, the Applicant authorizes the Examiner to make changes by Examiner's amendment, if necessary, but without changing the substantive content of the specification. The particular changes may be further discussed using the telephone conference with the applicant, if necessary.

The rejections and objections of the Official Action of March 26, 2008 having been obviated by Amendment or shown to be inapplicable, withdrawal thereof is requested, and passage of the claims to issue is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read 'A. Frenkel', written in a cursive style.

Anatoly Frenkel
Agent for the Applicant
Registration No. 54,106

AZF/mlp
WARE, FRESSOLA, VAN DER SLUYS
& ADOLPHSON LLP
755 Main Street, P.O. Box 224
Monroe, Connecticut 06468
(203) 261-1234